



MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING OF THE HEMET CITY COUNCIL

May 22, 2012

6:00 p.m.

www.cityofhemet.org

City of Hemet Council Chambers

450 E. Latham Avenue

Please silence all cell phones*

Call to Order

Mayor Pro Tem Foreman called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Roll Call

PRESENT: Council Members Franchville, Krupa and Smith and Mayor Pro Tem Foreman

ABSENT: Mayor Youssef

Council Member Krupa moved and Council Member Smith seconded a motion to excuse Mayor Youssef. Motion carried 4-0.

Work Study

Discussion regarding this item, with possible direction to staff

1. Drug Prevention Program - Muriel Dufresne, Golden Era

Muriel Dufresne, Golden Era, showed the City Council the Public Service Announcements entitled "The Truth About Drugs" from the "Foundation for a Drug Free World". The videos were produced by Golden Era. Literature and materials are available on the website at www.drugfreeworld.com.

Closed Session

Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment

There were no public comments presented at this time.

The City Council recessed to Closed Session at 6:06 p.m.

2. Conference with Real Property Negotiators

Pursuant to Government Code section 54956.8

Property: 222 E. Florida Avenue APN: 443-232-009

Agency negotiator: City Manager Nakamura

Property Owner: Sheriff Issa

Under discussion: Acquisition, Price and Terms

3. Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation
Pursuant to Government Code section 54956.9(a)
Names of case: Tounget v. City of Hemet RIC1111811
-

REGULAR SESSION

7:00 p.m.

City of Hemet Council Chambers
450 E. Latham Avenue

Call to Order

Mayor Pro Tem Foreman called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m.

Roll Call

PRESENT: Council Members Franchville, Krupa and Smith and Mayor Pro
Tem Foreman

ABSENT: Mayor Youssef

Council Member Franchville moved and Council Member Krupa seconded a motion to excuse Mayor Youssef. Motion carried 4-0.

OTHERS PRESENT: City Manager Nakamura, City Attorney Vail and City Clerk McComas

Invocation

Invocation was given by Marsha Walker, Hemet-San Jacinto Interfaith Council.

Pledge of Allegiance

Pledge of Allegiance was led by Council Member Franchville.

City Attorney Closed Session Report

4. Conference with Real Property Negotiators
Pursuant to Government Code section 54956.8
Property: 222 E. Florida Avenue APN: 443-232-009
Agency negotiator: City Manager Nakamura
Property Owner: Sheriff Issa
Under discussion: Acquisition, Price and Terms

The City Council discussed this property with the agency negotiator. There was no direction given at this time. There was no additional reportable action.

5. Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation
Pursuant to Government Code section 54956.9(a)
Names of case: Tounget v. City of Hemet RIC1111811

The City Attorney gave the City Council an update on this case. There was no additional reportable action.

City Council Business Consent Calendar

6. **Approval of Minutes** - May 8, 2012
7. **Receive and File** - Warrant Registers
 - a. Warrant registers dated May 3, 2012 and May 7, 2012. Payroll for the period of April 16, 2012 to April 29, 2012 was \$594,301.03
8. **Recommendation by City Treasurer** - Revisions to Investment Policy
 - a. Adopt a resolution ordering the revision of the City of Hemet Investment Policy. **Resolution No. 4499**
9. **Recommendation by Engineering** - Encroachment Easement - Tract 31808 Rancho Diamante - Pulte Home Corporation
 - a. Grant a perpetual, non-exclusive encroachment easement over Lot B (Camino Sueno) of Tract 31808, for sound wall purposes; and
 - b. Authorize the City Clerk to record it with the County of Riverside Recorder's Office.
10. **Recommendation by Community Development** - Municipal Code Amendment No. 12-002 regulating Drug and Gang-Related Nuisance Activities on residential properties
 - a. Adopt an ordinance adding Article III (Drug and Gang-Related Nuisance on Residential Property) to Chapter 46 (Offenses and Miscellaneous Provisions) of the Hemet Municipal Code, an element of Hemet Restoring Our Community Strategy (Hemet ROCS) Program. **Ordinance No. 1849**
11. **Recommendation by Community Development** - Municipal Code Amendment No. 12-003 regarding the Abatement of Chronic Nuisance Properties
 - a. Adopt an ordinance adding Article IV (Abatement of Chronic Nuisance Properties) to Chapter 48 (Offences and Miscellaneous Provisions) to the Hemet Municipal Code, an element of the Hemet Restoring Our Community Strategy (Hemet ROCS) Program. **Ordinance No. 1850**

12. **Recommendation by Fire Department** - Acceptance of the 2011 Riverside County Community Health Agency Grant (CHOG)
 - a. Accept the grant from the Riverside County Community Health Agency in the amount of \$67,000 for the period of November 18, 2011 through January 30, 2014; and
 - b. Amend the budget in the Public Safety Grant Fund #232 from the current \$67,000 to reflect the award amount of \$67,000 to cover the cost of training and the purchase of hazardous materials mitigation equipment.

13. **Recommendation by Police Department** -2012 Federal Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Radio Replacement Project
 - a. Accept the 2012 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Local Solicitation in the amount of \$29,915.

14. **Recommendation by Fire Department** - Weed Abatement
 - a. Adopt a resolution declaring that weeds, rubbish and refuse upon parkways or private property within the City as a hazardous condition and require the abatement of weeds, rubbish and refuse on properties as identified. This resolution will set a public hearing on at the regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council on June 12, 2012. **Resolution No. 4500**

Item No. 15 was removed from the Consent Calendar. **Council Member Smith moved and Council Member Franchville seconded a motion to approve the remaining Consent Calendar items as presented. Motion carried 4-0.**

Item No. 14

CW Cecchi, Hemet, asked who will determine what is rubbish and when the weeds are considered a hazard.

Chief Morris, for the last twelve years we have adopted resolutions regarding weed abatement for vacant lots with weeds, grasses and trash that might move fire fields to neighboring dwellings. The City has hired a contractor to help make this determination. The City will provide notices and then perform the work if not completed by the property owner. The City will then place a lien on the property for the cost to provide the service.

Kenneth Baker, Hemet, asked if this resolution applies to vacant homes or rentals.

Chief Morris, this resolution only pertains to weed abatement issues on vacant lots or in parkways. Code Enforcement has the ability to enforce clean up of vacant and or occupied homes.

Council Member Krupa moved and Council Member Smith seconded a motion to approve this item as presented. Motion carried 4-0.

Communications From the Public

Joy Ward, Hemet, thanked the City Council for the Armed Forces Banners on Florida Avenue.

Patrick Barron, Hemet, expressed concern with the condition of Anderson Drive and Mel Christ Lane and distributed a request for repairs signed by a number of residents. The street was first installed in 1962, since then the streets have only been patched and never repaved.

Mr. Barron was referred to the City Manager's Office for assistance.

Paula Orloff, Hemet, presented a written request to the City Council to consider adopting a resolution recommending expansion of the Nuclear Power Plant Emergency Zone. The current zone is only 10 miles. The City of Hemet is 40 miles from San Onofre power plant and Hemet residents would not be notified in the event of an emergency. Previous nuclear events show that this radius is inadequate.

The request was given to the City Manager for review by staff.

Public Hearings

15. **Zone Change No. 12-001 Esplanade Commerce Center** - Community Development Director Elliano
 - a. Conduct a public hearing; and
 - b. Introduce, read by title only and waive further reading of an ordinance approving Zone Change No. 12-001 changing the zoning designation from M-1 (Light Manufacturing) to C-M (Commercial Manufacturing) on the northwest portion of a parcel located on the south side of Esplanade Avenue, west of State Street (APN: 439-030-021) **Ordinance Bill No. 12-040**; and
 - c. Direct staff to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act.

Deanna Elliano, Community Development Director, this is a request for a zone change from M-1 (Light Manufacturing) to C-M (Commercial Manufacturing) to the northwest 1.62 acre portion of an 8.82 acre property located at 463 W. Esplanade Avenue. The Applicants are Jose & Maria Cuevas. The Civil Engineer is Blaine Womer. Ms. Elliano showed the City Council the project location and the existing conditions. The request is to provide a greater range of potential tenants to the property. Currently only 3 of the 11 tenant spaces are leased, and are more commercial than industrial in use. The existing building is better suited for commercial or office than most manufacturing uses. The property is located across from Valley Wide Regional Park which provides an opportunity for visitor-serving and family-oriented businesses. Ms. Elliano displayed photos of the site and the surrounding properties. Ms. Elliano also showed the City Council a map of the existing and the proposed zoning. The proposed change to C-M is consistent with the current General Plan Land Use. The proposed project will not expand any current land uses on site and does not anticipate causing an increase in traffic. No physical site changes are proposed as part of this project. The Fire and Police Departments reviewed the site for

emergency access and circulation prior to construction. The project increases the number of permitted and conditionally permitted uses. The project does not create a conflict with existing tenants. The existing development is consistent with C-M standards. The Planning Commission reviewed the application and materials for Zone Change No. 12-001 on May 1, 2012 and unanimously recommended approval to the City Council. The project was reviewed for compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act, and has determined the proposed zone change is not expected to create any significant environmental impacts and is consistent with the previously adopted Comprehensive General Plan Update Environmental Impact Report.

Mayor Pro Tem Foreman declared the Public Hearing opened at 7:30 p.m.

Blaine Womer, Civil Engineer, is available to answer any questions the City Council might have.

Mayor Pro Tem Foreman declared the Public Hearing closed at 7:30 p.m.

Council Member Smith moved and Council Member Krupa seconded a motion to approve this item as presented. Motion carried 4-0.

The Ordinance was read by title only.

16. **General Plan Amendments** - Community Development Director Elliano

A. General Plan Amendment No. 12-001: General Plan Land Use Map Revisions

- a. Conduct a public hearing; and
- b. Adopt a resolution approving General Plan Amendment No. 12-001 regarding 2012 Cycle 2 revisions to the General Plan Land Use Map for specified properties, and direct staff to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act. **Resolution Bill No. 12-039**

AND

B. General Plan Amendment No. 12-002: Amendment to the Adopted 2008-2014 Housing Element

- a. Conduct a public hearing; and
- b. Adopt a resolution approving General Plan Amendment No. 12-002 regarding amendments to the adopted 2008-2014 Housing Element to comply with California Housing and Community Development Department conditional certification requirements, and direct staff to file a Notice of Determination in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act. **Resolution Bill No. 12-038**

Deanna Elliano, Community Development Director, the City Council adopted the comprehensive update to the General Plan on January 24, 2012. The Housing Element is Chapter 11 of the General Plan and is a State mandated element. It is the only General Plan element that must be reviewed and certified by the State subsequent to adoption by the local agency. One-third of the jurisdictions in the region are currently out of compliance with their Housing Elements for the 2008-2014 Housing Element cycle. Following the City Council adoption of the General Plan, staff submitted the Housing Element to the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for certification. HCD required additional modifications and has issued a conditional approval letter. HCD's comments

primarily focused on the residential density designations for certain vacant sites, and other minor language changes to be consistent with State Law. State law requires that each housing element make "adequate provisions" for existing and projected housing needs of all economic segments of the region. Adequate provision is determined through the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA). The RHNA is the number of units that each jurisdiction must provide for through the General Plan land use and zoning designations. The number of units required are allocated by the State and Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). The RHNA is not a construction requirement. A city is not obligated to actually produce the housing units, but available (vacant) sites must be identified in the Housing Element and properly zoned (Available Site Inventory) and approved by HCD for income categories representing the range of household incomes in the City. The income levels are broken down by Low, Moderate and Above-Moderate. The total units required by RHNA for the City's 4th Cycle was 11,243. The City has received credit for 3,922 units produced through 5/31/11. The City's balance required through the Available Sites Inventory is 7,321. HCD determined that communities with a population greater than 25,000 could use land use density designations of greater than 30 dwelling units per acre to accommodate its Low Income RHNA. To accommodate our Low Income RHNA, staff had initially used both the High Density Residential - HDR (18.1-30 du/ac) and Very High Density Residential - VHDR (30.1-40 du/ac) land use designations. HCD would only accept designations of 30 du/ac at the low end of the density range, so only VHDR (30.1-40 du/ac) could be used as the default for the Low Income RHNA. HCD is requiring us to revisit the Available Sites Inventory and re-designate some parcels for VHDR instead of HDR. Ms. Elliano showed the City Council a map showing vacant multi-family sites. State law allows a General Plan to be amended only 4 times (cycles) per year. In 2012, the first cycle was the Comprehensive Update in January. The proposed GPA 12-001 and 12-002 are Cycle 2 amendments. To reserve cycles for future amendment, staff added additional map changes to Cycle 2, not related to the Housing Element, to achieve consistency between the existing uses and their land use designations on two sites. Ms. Elliano gave a summary of the proposed map amendments and displayed a map of the sites. Sites 1 through 3 are associated with the Housing Element. Site 1: South side of Stetson between Palm and Lyon; 15 acres of vacant land currently designated as HDR, the proposed designation is VHDR. Site 2: North side of Acacia, 300 ft east of Yale; 5 acres of vacant land currently designated as CC and HDR, the proposed designation is VHDR. Site 3: Southeast corner of Johnson and State; 9 acres of vacant land currently designated as HDR, the proposed designation is VHDR. Sites 4 and 5 are to achieve land use consistency. Site 4: Southwest corner of Johnson and Buena Vista; 4 acres with an existing Convalescent Hospital currently designated as VHDR, the proposed designation is OP/M. Site 5: South side of Devonshire, 100 feet east of San Jacinto; 2.5 acres with an existing Convalescent Hospital; Assisted Living Facility currently designated as LDR, the proposed designation is OP/M. The proposed language changes to the Housing Element are non-substantive. They ensure internal consistency with the substantive changes discussed in this presentation. General Plan Figure 2.1 Land Use Map and Figure 2.6a Airport Compatibility Zones were displayed with the proposed changes incorporated. HCD certified Housing Elements (HE) are eligible for State bond funds and federal funds administered by HCD. HCD certified Housing Elements are also eligible for housing related park funds and extra points in competitive

HCD funding awards. HCD certification indicates compliance with State law. The penalty for having a non-compliant Housing Element allows a petitioner (e.g. environmentalist, housing advocate, builder) to request a court hearing to determine if a local Housing Element substantially complies with State law. If the court determines it does not, judgments may include: suspend authority to issue building permits for residential housing; and suspend authority to grant zone changes and/or variances. Penalties for late submissions are: localities more than 120 days late in adopting a Housing Element update, may have to revert to a 4-year cycle (currently 8 years); RHNA that is not addressed by the Sites Inventory must be added to the next Housing Element cycle RHNA, next Cycle starts in October 2013; and localities with approved Housing Element's that do not complete rezoning within 3 years may be subject to additional sanctions. Several phone calls were received from property owners requesting clarification of the notice. There was no public comments presented at the Planning Commission Public Hearing. The Planning Commission recommended approval to the City Council of both GPA 12-001 and GPA 12-002. Staff is recommending that the City Council conduct a public hearing and adopt the presented resolutions.

The City Council requested an example of Very High Density Development.

Ms. Elliano, the Village senior units are one example of VHDR. Ms. Elliano also reminded the City Council that there is no requirement to build the facilities, only to have the land designation for it.

Mayor Pro Tem Foreman declared the Public Hearing opened at 7:52 p.m.

E.A. Stock, Hemet, expressed concern with mandates from the State. The State will only pass down funding to those that will do as they say. This land use designation is fine if the property owner is requesting it.

Kenneth Baker, Hemet, spoke in opposition to the land designation change. Mr. Baker expressed concern with the existing low density development in that area.

CW Cecchi, Hemet, if the property owner is in favor of the change, then the proposed land use designation would be ok. Mr. Cecchi is opposed to the change if this is just a State mandate.

Anna Baker, Hemet, expressed concern with the existing problems at Yale and Florida Avenues. Ms. Baker noted that additional high density development will make it worse.

Helen Hanson, Hemet, expressed concern with the addition of more residents in Hemet.

Mayor Pro Tem Foreman declared the Public Hearing closed at 8:03 p.m.

Ms. Elliano, the State is requiring every county and every city to designate sites for affordable housing. The City has some flexibility but must adhere to certain requirements. The site must be vacant with infrastructure. If these sites are unacceptable, staff can look for alternative sites that will meet their requirement. We do have to make the changes quickly in order to have our Housing Element approved.

Council Member Franchville, the City Council realizes what is happening in this valley and is just as frustrated as you are. The State regulates and mandates these things, then takes away our funding sources such as RDA. Council Member Franchville asked if there is an appeal process by which the City of Hemet can argue against these additional requirements.

Ms. Elliano, it would take a State Legislative action to get the requirements changed. The City Council and staff discussed the property and the requirement to build at the assigned density.

Council Member Smith, recommended that the residents, who have expressed frustration in the State mandates and requirements on the City, voice their opinion with their vote come election time. Generally speaking, the representatives at the State level do not care how their mandates and regulations effect the cities. This is not something that the City Council wants to do, but if we don't we will not see funding to fix your streets.

Mayor Pro Tem Foreman, expressed understanding for the residents concern on Yale and Florida. The City Council does not like the State telling us what to do.

Eric Vail, City Attorney, for more than a decade the State of California has mandated RHNA and the cities and the counties have no control over the allocation. The determination is made by the Department of Finance. The City of Hemet has diligently fought the numbers that have been allocated to them. In 2006, the State first required the City to provide over 18,000 units, the City Council at the time appealed and the requirement was lowered to 11,243. If we comply with the requested changes the RHNA in the next Cycle will be 640. If we do not comply the next allocation will be larger. More and more funding allocations, including transportation, are tied to the approval of this Element. Not meeting these requirements and losing additional funding will not help the problem.

Ms. Elliano, if we have an adopted plan, the 7,321 will not roll over. The land designation can be reconsidered at that time.

Council Member Krupa moved and Council Member Smith seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 4501. Motion carried 3-1. Mayor Pro Tem Foreman voted No.

Council Member Franchville moved and Council Member Smith seconded a motion to adopt Resolution No. 4502. Motion carried 3-1. Mayor Pro Tem Foreman voted No.

17. **Zoning Ordinance Amendment No. 12-002 - Boarding Houses, Group Homes and Reasonable Accommodations** - Community Development Director Elliano
 - a. Conduct a public hearing; and
 - b. Introduce, read by title only and waive further reading on an ordinance adopting Zoning Ordinance Amendment No. 12-002 regarding zoning and development regulations for Boarding House, Group Homes and Reasonable Accommodation procedures; and
 - c. Direct staff to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk.

Deanna Elliano, Community Development Director, in preparing this ordinance the following legal framework was considered: State & Federal Fair Housing/Anti Discrimination laws for Housing; State legislation regarding Zoning and GP Housing Element requirements; Federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds - annual audit and "Analysis of Impediments" to Housing Opportunities. Ms. Elliano explained the difference between Residential and Commercial housing. Commercial housing is where one or more rooms are rented out under separate rental agreements and where the renters do not live as a "single-house-keeping unit" jointly sharing expenses, meals, chores, etc. Examples of Commercial housing is: apartments; boarding houses; motels/hotels; and assisted living. Typically they are located in Multi-family or Commercial Zones. Group homes are a special classification under state law, they are typically a single family home in a residential neighborhood. Persons are renting individual rooms, but are not living as a "single housekeeping unit". All

residents are classified as "disabled" per state and federal law. Disabilities include: mental or physical impairment, recovery from addiction (sober living). Group homes may or may not be licensed by the State. Small group homes consist of 6 or fewer individuals. A large group home consists of 7 or more individuals. State licensed group homes "Small Licensed Residential Care Facility" with 6 or fewer residents are allowed "by right" in all single family zones per state law. Unlicensed group homes are permitted in Single Family zones with 6 or fewer residents with disabilities. This does not include anyone currently using alcohol or drugs, parolees or sex offenders. Most facilities in the community are currently for seniors or physically disabled. Sober living homes are a growing segment in all communities in the area. There a number of potential challenges from Federal and State housing agencies, local housing advocates, senior disability advocates to allow unlicensed group homes in Single Family zones by right (not prohibited or by CUP). Several cities currently permit small group homes by right. The proposed ordinance repeals the existing provisions for boarding houses, group homes, and residential care facilities & relocates the provision to a new Chapter in the zoning code "Special Housing Classification". The definitions, permitted zones and procedures have been reworked. Application and information requirements have been added. More detailed operational standards have been added. Specific findings for approval of homes requiring an AUP or CUP have been added. Also added was a "Small Group Home permit" requirement. The "Reasonable Accommodation" provisions have been updated. Ms. Elliano explained the proposed amendments by zoning classifications. This is the 10th version of the ordinance drafted by the City Attorney and staff. The draft has been provided to the Federal Housing & Urban Development Department (HUD) for review. This ordinance was reviewed by the City's Hemet ROCS Citizens Advisory Committee on April 23, 2012. On May 1, 2012, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the ordinance. The next ordinance will address parolee/probationer housing. Staff is recommending that the City Council find this proposed ordinance exempt from CEQA and introduce the ordinance.

Eric Vail, City Attorney, the 10 versions of this ordinance are due to the complexity of the law. A balance had to be found between the City's legitimate right, the powers of the police and land uses. Most of the operators that we worked with were very consciences and above board. There are state and federal regulations for disabled housing and staff will move them through the process faster, removing any element of discretion. The Small Group Home Permit is administrative. If the requirements are meet it will be granted. Staff and the City Attorney's Office were mindful of the comments from HUD and the Impediments to Fair Housing. This ordinance includes a nice balance between the rights of the disabled, the rights to control and requires minimum standards.

Mayor Pro Tem Foreman declared the Public Hearing opened at 8:41 p.m.

Dan Goodrich, Hemet, understands the concerns of the City Council and the City Attorney. There are group homes that are not properly ran, this permit process will help. Mr. Goodrich asked how the calls for service and/or complaints will be regulated.

Mr. Vail, this ordinance is part of the Hemet ROCS Program that will be under the oversight of that team.

Council Member Smith, asked about provisions regarding saturation of group homes if that should occur.

Mr. Vail, there is no provision regarding saturation. There are distance requirements that might help us avoid that. Small Group Homes for the disabled will be required to meet ADA requirements.

The City Council and staff discussed the permitting and building requirements.

Mayor Pro Tem Foreman declared the Public Hearing closed at 8:51 p.m.

Council Member Franchville moved and Mayor Pro Tem Foreman seconded a motion to approve this item as presented. Motion carried 4-0.

The Ordinance was read by title only.

Discussion/Action Items

18. **Memorandum of Understanding for Recycled Water Storage Project East of Diamond Valley Lake** - City Attorney Vail

- a. Approve the Memorandum of Understanding between Eastern Municipal Water District and the City of Hemet for the Recycled Water Storage Project east of Diamond Valley Lake; and
- b. Authorize the Mayor to sign the memorandum.

Brian Nakamura, City Manager, this item will be continued until staff can review the MOU between the Metropolitan Water District and Eastern Municipal Water District.

City Council Reports

19. CITY COUNCIL REPORTS AND COMMENTS

A. Council Member Franchville

1. Riverside Transit Agency (RTA)
2. Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC)
3. League of California Cities

B. Council Member Krupa

1. Library Board
2. Traffic and Parking Commission
4. Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency (RCHCA)
5. Riverside Conservation Authority (RCA)
6. Ramona Bowl Association
7. Indian Gaming Distribution Fund
8. Hemet ROCS Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)

C. Council Member Smith

1. League of California Cities
2. Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC)
3. Planning Commission
4. Public Safety Update
5. National League of Cities
6. Hemet ROCS Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)

D. Mayor Pro Tem Foreman

1. Park Commission
2. Indian Gaming Distribution Fund

E. Mayor Youssef

1. Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG)
2. Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC)

F. Ad-Hoc Committee Reports

- _____ 1. Hemet ROCS Executive Advisory Committee
- _____ 2. Special Events Ad-Hoc Committee

Council Member Krupa, On May 15th, the City crews installed the first set of banners, which was celebrated by a well attended ceremony at Gibbel Park. There are a number of applications already submitted for the 2nd installation and monetary donations continue to be received by the City. Council Member Krupa thanked Mayor Pro Tem Foreman for the idea.

The City Council appointed Council Member Krupa and Mayor Pro Tem Foreman to an Ad-Hoc Committee for the 2012 Veteran's Day Celebration.

_____ G. Town Hall Meetings

H. City Manager Nakamura

1. Manager's Report
2. Possible dates for Joint Meeting with San Jacinto

Brian Nakamura, City Manager, staff is still working with San Jacinto on possible dates.

Brian Nakamura, City Manager, due to the complexities of this budget, staff will not be ready to conduct a workstudy on June 5, 2012. The first budget workshop will be held on June 12th. Staff is requesting an additional workstudy the week of June 18. Please contact the City Manager's Office with dates that will or will not work for that week. The anticipated adoption date will be June 26, 2012.

Future Agenda Items

USC Public Policy Training

Update on the Public Safety Department and Fire Dept EMS

Adjournment

Adjourned at 9:03 p.m. to Tuesday, June 12, 2012 at 7:00 p.m.